Throughput Analysis of a Trustchain Protocol Implementation

Author: Tudor Chirilă

Supervisors: Bulat Nasrulin, Johan Pouwelse

1. Overview

- Context: Bitcoin's[1] design trades scalability (≈7 TPS, 10 min blocks)[2] for security; DAG-based protocols[3] like Trustchain[4] offer higher throughput and Sybil resistance.
- Why Mobile? Smartphones dominate internet access and could enable fast, peer-to-peer payments despite limited compute power and mobile testing tools.

Research Questions

- 1. How can we build a smartphone application which implements the core features of the Trustchain protocol?
- 2. Given the base implementation of the application, what is its throughput performance (that is, the number of transactions per second that the app will be able to support)?

2. Methodology

- Prototype
 - Build Trustchain[5] client with P2P framework
 - Connect to peer, attach message, exchange & store blocks
- Support UDP[6] transport
 Throughput Tests
 - Metric: completed blocks stored per ms, after full processing
 - Controlled: private Wi-Fi, identical phones/OS
 - Variables: test duration, message rate[7], payload size
 - Compare QUIC[8] (iroh) vs. UDP under same workloads

3. Overview of the Trustchain Protocol Implementation



- The iroh implementation builds on Rust's quinn library to offer a peer-to-peer messaging API over QUIC, complete with built-in asymmetric encryption for agent key pairs and peer-discovery support.
- The UDP implementation is deliberately kept simple: using native Rust networking crates, the code opens a pre-defined UDP socket, sends block-sized messages to it, and runs a dedicated receiver thread.

4. Experiments



100 Byte payload, 25 second duration, iroh with reconnection



100 Byte payload, 25 second duration, iroh with connection retainment



5. Conclusions

Research Question 1

- Built a smartphone app using the Rust-iroh framework, yielding a functional Trustchain prototype.
- Implemented block creation, payload attachment, peer exchange of signed blocks, and local storage.

Research Question 2

- Maintaining a persistent QUIC connection delivered over three times the throughput compared to reconnecting on each message.
- Achieved approximately 28 blocks/s with a 128 B payload at 29 msg/s. When considering a full block size of minimum 536B recorded, this corresponds to ~15 KB/s storage throughput.
- The simple UDP implementation outperformed the iroh version, storing at least 500 blocks/s of 668 B each.

6. Limitations

Protocol fidelity

- Our implementation supports throughput tests but skips the exact block format and consensus layer; a full reimplementation is needed for deeper protocol insights.
- Limited testbed
- Experiments run on two devices in a fixed network; multiple devices and varied network topologies are required to generalize findings.
- Benchmarking overhead
- Capturing full timestamps, block structure, and message content yields rich data but adds overhead; a leaner metric (e.g., counting stored blocks) is faster but sacrifices structural validation.
- Low repeatability
 - Manually executing the QUIC-based (iroh) experiments restricts the number of runs; an automated test bench is needed to scale repetitions.

Code robustness

 The Rust-based iroh optimization sometimes disconnects under heavy load, and the original iroh version fails around 40 msg/s; improving stability and diagnosing these faults is critical.

7. References

[1]Nakamoto, S. - Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system (2008) [2]Croman et al. - On scaling decentralized blockchains, Financial Cryptography (2016) [3]Wang et al. - SoK: DAG-based blockchain systems (2022) [4]Otte et al. - Trustchain: A sybil-resistant scalable blockchain, FGCS (2020) [5]Pouwelse - Trustchain protocol, IETF draft-01 (2018) [6]RFC 768 - User Datagram Protocol (1980) [7]Nasrulin et al. - Gromit: Benchmarking blockchain performance, DAPPs (2022) [8]RFC 9000 - QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure

[8]RFC 9000 - QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secur Transport (2021)