# Using a time dependency graph to find the most widely used Debian packages



**AUTHOR Teodor Dobrev** T.Dobrev@student.tudelft.nl

**AFFILIATIONS** Supervisor: Georgios Gousios G.Gousios@tudelft.nl

Superviosr: Diomidis Spineellis D.Spinellis@tudelf.nl

# BACKGROUND

- Reusing already existing packages introduces a number of dependencies in an application.
- Once an exploit is found in any of these dependencies, the whole application becomes vulnerable. Some famous examples are the Log4J and the leftPad cases.
- Existing work does not include the transitive dependencies based on the time of the release of a package. (Fig. 1)



Figure 1: The dependencies between 3 packages (A, B and C) in time (denoted as T)

## RESEARCH **QUESTIONS**

Which are the most widely used Debian Packages?

- How to efficiently design a dependency graph structure?
- How does time influence a dependency graph structure?
- What measures of criticality could be used to evaluate this graph data structure?

# **3** METHODOLOGY

- Collecting the Debian data from the **Snapshot Archive** [1]
- Creating a timed graph structure and mapping the data onto it. This is done by introducing an individual node per version, timestamped with its release date.
- Comparing querying of the graph with the resolutions provided by the package manager.
- Running a PageRank algorithm to find the most widely used packages.

### RESULTS

#### **CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK**

- Fig. 2 provides an overview of the nodes with the highest page rank scores. Those were defined as the most widely used packages in the context of this research.
- Fig. 3 shows that the implemented time dependent graph structure actually resolves dependencies according to each version. The results are consistent with those in Fig. 2, considering that there they are aggregated. Figure 2: PageRank results aggregated
- In Fig. 4 can be observed the popularity of the packages over the years. It can be noticed that libc6 and libgcc1 are closely related, apart from 2022 when the libgcc-s1 is released.

Package	Version	PageRank	Release Date
libgcc1	1:8.3.0-6	0.042894	2020-01-01
libgcc1	1:6.3.0-18+deb9u1	0.042891	2018-06-01
libgcc1	1:6.3.0-18	0.042891	2017-06-18
libgcc1	1:4.9.2-10	0.042885	2015-06-01
libgcc1	1:4.7.2-5	0.042885	2015-01-01
libc6	2.31-13+deb11u3	0.026273	2022-06-01
libc6	2.31-13+deb11u2	0.026273	2022-01-01
libc6	2.28-10	0.025670	2020-01-01
libgcc-s1	10.2.1-6	0.024291	2022-01-01
libcrypt1	1:4.4.18-4	0.023923	2022-01-01

Figure 3: PageRank results per individual version (Average of 10 runs)



Package

libc6

libgcc1

libgcc-s1

libcrypt1

libjs-jquery

gcc-6-base

perl

dpkg

multiarch-support

libuima-core-java

by package (Average of 10 runs)

PageRank

0.2811

0.1906

0.0387

0.0210

0.0206

0.0132

0.0087

0.0072

0.0070

0.0069

- Debian packages were onto time mapped а dependency graph. lt resolved their dependencies as accurate as the package manager itself.
- Introducing time the component increased the precision of dependency resolving as it can be seen in Fig. 3.
- A point of improvement is the scalability of the graph since it does not perform well enough larger on datasets.
- Currently, this research does not show the benefits of improving the precision of the dependency resolving.

#### **RELATED LITERATURE**

[1] https://snapshot.debian.org/