

Detect the watermark through the training model A watermarking scheme to protect numerical classification datasets

Author: Ruonan Li Supervisor: Devris Isler Responsible Professor: Dr Zekeriya Erkin

Introduction

- Traditional digital watermarking technology is mainly used to protect the intellectual property of multimedia data such as images, audio and video[1].
- To date, dataset watermarking is a relatively new topic in research.
- Sablayrolles et al. proposed the radioactive data method[2] to protect image datasets. We

• Watermark embedding: Figure1: Illustration of embedding the watermark

Method

• Watermark detection:

The detection is based on statistical hypothesis testing.

H0: the model has been trained with the watermarked dataset. H1: the model has not been trained with the watermarked dataset. The cosine similarity between two vectors v1 and v2 (c(v1,v2)) in high-dimensional space of dimension d follows an incomplete distribution[3]:

modify the radioactive data method to protect numerical machine-learning classification datasets.

Research Questions

- How to make use of the watermarking technique to protect the intellectual property of numerical datasets used for machine learning?
- How much distortion will the watermark bring into the dataset?
- How robust is the watermark?



 μ is a unit vector ($|\mu| = 1$) generated randomly and has the same dimension as the dataset. It is added in the feature space of data with the same label. After being trained with the watermarked dataset, the new classifier $\omega *$ is likely to move in the direction of μ .

$$P(c(v_1, v_2) \ge \tau) = \frac{B_{1-\tau^2}(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}{2B_1(\frac{d-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$$



We can calculate $c(\omega * - \omega, \mu)$ and get the p-
value.

Results

The effectiveness of our method:

Table 1: Accuracy and $log_{10}(p)$ for each dataset with q of data modified

		q=0	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.1		q=0	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.1				<i>c</i> = 1	2	3	
Iris	accuracy	0.97	0.967	0.967	0.9	0.833	Iris	$\frac{1}{0}$	-0.49	-0.49	-0.49	-0.49	1	q = 0.1	Wine	-0.65	-0.48	/	
	$\log_{10}(p)$	-0.30	-0.625	-0.8/6	-0.826	-1.07	Wir	$\frac{0}{10}$	-0.15	-0.13	-0.06	0.02		-	Breast	-4.73	-4.61	-3.2	
Wine	$\log_{10}(\mathbf{n})$	-0.30	-2.2	-2.7	-3.3	-5.0	Bre	$\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{1}{0}$	-0.53	-0.51	-0.42	-0.38				<i>c</i> = 1	2	3	
	accuracy	0.982	0.982	0.982	0.976	0.976		use o	0.00	0.01	0,12			q = 0.05	Wine	/	/	/	
Breast	$\log_{10}(p)$	-0.30	-3.35	-3.45	-4.63	-4.74								•	Breast	-1.92	-2.3	-1.78	
																<i>c</i> = 1	2	3	
														q = 0.01	Wine	/	/	/	
														•	Breast	-0.83	-0.62	-0.59	
		Con	clusio	ns					Furt	ther V	Vork								
 With on linear the way confide 	only 1% of classifica atermarke ence.	f data r tion mo ed data	nodifie odel ha set with	d, we c is been n more	an dete trained than 9	ect if a d with 9% of	• The r for no	method on-linea	can be r model	improv s.	ed so t	hat it is	seffective						
 Our m when Howey 	ethod is r the dimer ver, after	robust nsion o perforr	against f the da ning da	t colum ataset i ata norr	n dropp s high. nalizati	on, we	 It is contraining training training 	common ng a ma t robust	to appl achine le against	y data earning data n	norma mode ormaliz	lization I but ou zation a	before ur method and this						

The robustness of against data normalization:

The robustness against column dropping:

Table 2: Cosine similarity between $\omega^* - \omega$ and μ after normalizing Table 3: $log_{10}(p)$ when dropping c columns with q of data modified, "/" means the cosine similarity between $\omega^* - \omega$ and μ is negative the data

with

Iris	accuracy $log_{10}(p)$	q=0 0.97 -0.30	0.01 0.967 -0.625	0.02 0.967 -0.876	0.05 0.9 -0.826	0.1 0.833 -1.07	Iris	q=0	0.01 -0.49	0.02	0.05	0.1		q = 0.1	Wine Breast	c = 1 -0.65 -4.73	2 -0.48 -4.61	3 / -3.2	
Wine	$log_{10}(p)$	-0.30	-2.2	-2.7	-3.3	-5.0	Breast	0	-0.13	-0.13	-0.00	-0.38		a = 0.05	Wine	c = 1	2	3	
Breast	$log_{10}(p)$	-0.30	-3.35	-3.45	-4.63	-4.74								q – 0.03	Breast	-1.92	-2.3	-1.78	
														q = 0.01	Wine	c = 1 /	2 / 0.62	3 / 0.50	
		Conc	clusio	ns					Furt	her V	Vork				breast	-0.85	-0.02	-0.39	
 With on linear the way confide 	only 1% o classifica atermarke ence.	f data r ition mo ed datas	nodifie odel ha set witł	d, we c is been h more	can det trained than S	ect if a d with 99% of	The me for non-	thod ca linear	an be i models	improv S.	ed so t	hat it is	s effective						
 Our m when Howey 	ethod is i the dimer ver, after	robust a nsion of perforn	against f the da ning da	t colum ataset i ata norr	n drop s high. nalizat	ping ion, we	 It is contraining is not read 	nmon t a mac obust a	o apply hine le gainst	y data earning data n	norma mode ormaliz	lization I but ou zation a	before ur method and this						

		c = 1	2	3
1 = 0.1	Wine	-0.65	-0.48	/



pages 8326–8335. PMLR, 2020.

International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event, volume 119 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 3. Ahmet Iscen, Teddy Furon, Vincent Gripon, Michael G. Rabbat, and Herve J 'egou. Memory vectors for similarity search in high-dimensional spaces. IEEE

the art techniques. IEEE Access, 4:2670–2704, 2016. 2. Alexandre Sablayrolles, Matthijs Douze, Cordelia Schmid, and Herve J egou. Radioactive data: tracing through training. In Proceedings of the 37th

1. Arezou Soltani Panah, Ron G. van Schyndel, Timos K. Sellis, and Elisa Bertino. On the properties of nonmedia digital watermarking: A review of state of

Ruonan Li

Contact

Email: R.Li-11@studelt.tudelft.nl

References

Trans. Big Data, 4(1):65–77, 2018.