Evaluating Structure-from-Motion on shiny and non-textured surfaces in borescope videos

Author: Alec Nonnemaker (4953282) Supervisor 1: Dr. Jan van Gemert Supervisor 2: Burak Yildiz

A.M.Nonnemaker@student.tudelft.nl

1 - Introduction

- 3D reconstruction could be beneficial for damage assessment of jet engines.
- Structure from Motion (SfM) run on **borescope** videos of engines for reconstruction.
- Problem: Jet engines often contain shiny and non-textured surfaces.

Goal: **Evaluate** performance of SfM on borescope videos with shiny and non-textured surfaces

2 - SfM

Incremental SfM:

Generally more **robust**, can take long • with lots of noise/outliers



Figure 1: Incremental SfM pipeline [1]

Global SfM

Potential for speed and accuracy, • sensitive to outliers



Figure 2: Global SfM pipeline [2]

3 - Experiments and results

- Experiments done on 3 different video •
- SIFT feature detection used for global SfM •
- SIFT, SuperGlue (SG) and ground truth • (GT) feature detection used for Incremental SfM



Multi-View Stereo (MVS)

Takes sparse point cloud and camera • poses from SfM and creates a **dense** model

Model comparison

Global SfM with SIFT could only create a sparse model for video 3

with SIFT

Incremental SfM + MVSZ

- Good performance on video 1 with • SuperGlue and ground truth
- Video 2 only decent performance on ground • truth
- SIFT, SuperGlue, and ground truth identical • performance on video 3 with MVS



Figure 4: GT (top) and SG (bottom) SfM + MVS video 1

Figure 5: SfM + MVS model with SIFT

Damage visualisation

- Large dents clearly visible in • GT, less clear using SuperGlue
- Inner part of blades not dense ٠ enough to visualize scratches



Figure 6: Damage in video, GT and SG (fLTR)

Data analysis

Data analysis on sparse incremental SfM • models

	#Points				Time (min)				Mean Reproj. Error (px)			
	SIFT	SG	LoFTR	GT	SIFT	SG	LoFTR	GT	SIFT	SG	LoFTR	GT
Video 1	716	1497	-	52409	2.0	1.7	-	14.78	0.58	1.28	-	1.35
Video 2	-			10283	-			20.8	-		-	1.35
Video 3	6924	4741	-	20782	14.1	22.0	-	45.2	1.09	1.41	-	1.28

4 - Conclusion

- Global SfM underperforms compared • to incremental SfM using SIFT
- Good performance on videos with **low** • shininess or texture like grooves
- Bad performance using SIFT and • SuperGlue when surfaces are **shiny** and low textured (video 2)
- SfM has **potential** for utilization in • damage assessment

5 - References

[1] Johannes L. Schönberger and Jan-Michael Frahm. Structure-from-motion revisited. 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 4104-4113, 2016.

[2] Chris Sweeney, Tobias Höllerer, and M. Turk. Theia: A fast and scalable structure-from-motion library. Proceedings of the 23rd ACM international conference on Multimedia, 2015.



Figure 3: global sfm