Training a Machine-Learning Model for Optimal Fitness Function Selection with the Aim of Finding Bugs

Toon Kling t.kling@student.tudelft.nl

1. Background

- Automated Testing using Evosuite
- Optimizing criteria: Branch coverage, Mutation score
- Implemented as Coverage criteria:
 - Branch coverage / BRANCH
 - Input coverage / BRANCH;INPUT
 - Exception Coverage / BRANCH;EXCEPTION
- Can we predict when Branch & Exception outperform Branch?



Figure 1: Mutation Score achieved by Model

2. Research Question

When and how does Exception Coverage increase the number of bugs detected when combined with branch coverage?

3. Method and Process

Dataset:

- SF110 + Apache Commons: 113 Java projects
- 10 runs per class for 3 time units

Metrics collected: Chidamber & Kemerer extended Predict: Branch coverage / Mutation score improvement Medel used: Bandom Forcet Classifier

Model used: Random Forest Classifier

Supervisors: Pouria Derakhshanfar & Mitchell Olsthoorn Responsible professor: Annibale Panichella

4. Results

- F1-score achieved (Mutation Score): 0.865

- Criteria selection with model
- outperforms other criteria 8 / 10 times



Figure 2: Example of Decision Tree

5. Conclusions

- BRANCH;EXCEPTION often performs better than BRANCH.
- Random Forest Model can predict when each criterion achieves a better Mutation Score, not Branch Coverage
- Explanatory Al