# THE INFLUENCE OF INTERDEPENDENCE RELATIONSHIPS ON TRUST **REPAIR STRATEGIES AND COLLABORATION FLUENCY**

Author: Alexandra Marcu < a.m.marcu-1@student.tudelft.nl> Supervisor: Ruben Verhagen **Responsible Professor: Myrthe Tielman** 



• User experiment 3 x 2 mixed design:

- Time: before violation (T1), after violation (T2), after trust repair strategy (T3)
- Task: Urban Search and Rescue mission (Figure 1)
- Errors: weather predictions (Figure 2)
- Trust repair strategy: regret + explanation

• Measurements TRUST: questionnaire [4], % joint activities • Measurements FLUENCY: questionnaire [5], robot idle time, performance metrics



05	Interdependence Condition - Baseline - Mixed	Time	$p_{a}$
25	F(2, 84) = 7.14, p = 0.001	T1 - T2	0.1
00		T2 - T3	0.0
		T1 - T3	]
75	Ŧ	Time	$p_{a}$
		T1 - T2	0.0
50	Ţ Į	T2 - T3	0.0
25		T1 - T3	0.0
20		Table 1: I	Pairw
	T1 T2 T3 <b>Time</b>	comparisons f values: Baseli	
gur	e 3: Trust values over time. Mixed ANOVA	and Mixed	
st s	shows significant main effect on time.	Interdepender (bottom)	
imitations			

 homogeneity of the participants robot's messages visibility

• trust at T2 was recorded after trust repair message was sent



## **Conclusion & Discussion**

• Results do not align with prior work interdependence ~ trust [1].

### **RQ1. Trust Violation**

- Errors significantly affect trust development.
- Interdependence affected the perception of trust violation.

### <u>RQ1. Trust Repair</u>

- Slow increase in trust after violation.
- Interdependence did not affect how trust is repaired.

#### **RQ2. Collaboration Fluency**

• Interdependence <u>did not</u> affect fluency.

#### **Future Work**

- 1) Conduct more experiments.
- 2) Compare current results with other
- interdependence levels.
- 3) Find better ways to ensure the visibility of the messages.
- 4) Conduct this analysis but only for the
- participants that got penalized at T2.
- 5) Add another trust repair strategy.

# References

[1] Johnson, M. P., & Bradshaw, J. M. (2021). The role of interdependence in trust. In Elsevier eBooks (pp. 379-403). https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-819472-0.00016-2 [2] Esterwood, C., & Robert, L. P. (2022). A Literature Review of Trust Repair in HRI. In 2022 31st IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN). https://doi.org/10.1109/ro-man53752.2022.9900667 [3] Tomlinson, E. C. (2011). The context of trust repair efforts: Exploring the role of relationship dependence and outcome severity. Journal of Trust Research, 1(2), 139-157. https://doi.org/10.1080/21515581.2011.603507 [4] Hoffman, R. R., Mueller, S. T., Klein, G., & Litman, J. (2023). Measures for explainable AI: Explanation goodness, user satisfaction, mental models, curiosity, trust, and human-AI performance. Frontiers in Computer Science, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2023.1096257 [5] Hoffman, G. (2019). Evaluating Fluency in Human–Robot Collaboration. IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems, 49(3), 209–218.