TinyML-Based Adaptive Speed Control for Car Robot: A Comparative Approach

Background

- Adaptive speed control heavily relies on how a navigator perceives their surroundings. Previously relied on ground truth systems like:
 - Stereo vision
 - Lidar
 - Radar
- **Problems**: Computationally and energy inefficient. Infeasible for large-scale deployment.
- **Solution:** ML-powered depth estimation model.
- Use a U-Net or pyramid architecture model to predict depths from images, using monocular vision [1, 2].
- **Computationally cheaper,** better fit for everyday use.
- **State-of-the-art models** need GPUs and extensive resources to function [3, 4], so infeasible for embedded deployment.
- Therefore, use **TinyML**, a subset of small models that run on cheap microcontrollers.
- This project will focus on the Raspberry Pi Pico, which has only **264 KB of RAM** and **2 MB** of flash memory. Also uses the RP2040 chip, which has a dual core Arm Cortex-M0+ processor.

2. Research Question

What is the post-compression efficiency of TinyML depth perception models when run on the Raspberry Pi Pico?

Subquestions:

- What other literature is there on TinyML depth estimation?
- Is running the **monocular depth estimation** task on the Raspberry Pi Pico feasible?
- What effects do **compression** techniques such as quantization and pruning have on depth perception models?
- What are representative **metrics** for **efficiency**?



3. Methodology



Figure 2.1: Raw Image from KITTI

- Three models were selected and compared: L-EfficientUNet [1], L-Enet [5], µPyD-Net [2]
- One more original model was added to evaluate the efficiency of LSTMs: **Temporal-µPyD-**Net
- Models were trained and tested on the **Eigen split** of the **KITTI dataset** [6, 7]. This dataset contains over 60 recordings from stereo vision cameras and sparse LiDAR depth maps.

Student: Alexandru Petriceanu¹ | Responsible Professor: Qing Wang¹ ¹EEMCS, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands

Figure 1: Raspberry Pi Pico



Figure 2.2: Post-Preprocessing

Figure 2.3: Semi Global Matching (SGM)

- The supervision label was the SGM (Semi Global Matching) disparity map obtained from each stereo image pair [8].
- Grayscale images from the left camera were cropped and resized to 32x32 pixels to be used as input.
- Models were trained using berHu (reverse Huber) loss and an Adam optimizer for 100 epochs.
- **Evaluation** was performed using threshold accuracy (pixel is correct if under a certain error percentage), inference time, and memory used.
- The top two best-performing models were fully quantized to INT8 and then run for **inference** on the Raspberry Pi Pico.

4. Results

Model	δ < 1.25 (<25% error)	δ < 1.25 ² (<62.5% error)	δ < 1.25 ³ (<95.31% error)
L-EfficientUNet	54.40%	70.08%	80.77%
L-ENet	55.88%	73.24%	83.35%
µPyD-Net	74.32%	83.95%	88.44%
Temporal-µPyD-Net (ours)	74.38%	83.68%	88.40%







eligig into self-supervised monocular depth perception on microcontrollers for edge applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 32(3), 1524-1536. [3] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O., Firman, M., & Brostow, G. J. (2019). Digging into self-supervised monocular depth perception on microcontrollers for edge applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 32(3), 1524-1536. [3] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O., Firman, M., & Brostow, G. J. (2019). Digging into self-supervised monocular depth perception on microcontrollers for edge applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 32(3), 1524-1536. [3] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O., Firman, M., & Brostow, G. J. (2019). Digging into self-supervised monocular depth perception on microcontrollers for edge applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 32(3), 1524-1536. [3] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O., Firman, M., & Brostow, G. J. (2019). Digging into self-supervised monocular depth perception on microcontrollers for edge applications. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 32(3), 1524-1536. [3] Godard, C., Mac Aodha, O., Firman, M., & Brostow, G. J. (2019). Digging into self-supervised monocular depth perception on the combined of the combi etimation in a Single Image Using Systems, 37, 21875-21911. [5] Kim, S., Nam, J., & Ko, B. (2014). Depth anything v2. Advances in Neural Information in a Single Image Using Systems, 37, 21875-21911. [5] Kim, S., Nam, J., & Ko, B. (2014). Depth map prediction from a single image using a multi-scale deep J., & Zhao, Z., Xu, X., Feng, J., & Ko, B. (2014). Depth map prediction from a single image using a multi-scale deep J., Reng, J., & Ko, B. (2014). Depth anything v2. Advances in Neural Information in a Single Image Using Systems, 37, 21875-21911. [5] Kim, S., Nam, J., & Ko, B. (2014). Depth map prediction from a single image Using A., Reng, S., Reng, J., & Zhao, Z., Zhao etwork. Advances in neural information processing by Semi-Global Matching and Mutual Information. Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. 10.1109/CVPR.2005.56. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. 2. 807-814. In etwork. Advances in generation and Pattern Recognition. In etwork advances in generation and period. In etwork advances in generation advances in generation. In etwork advances in generation advances in generation advances in generation. In etwork advances in generation advances in generation. In etwork advances in generation advances in generation advances in generation. In etwork advances in generation advances in generation advances in generation. In etwork advances in generation advances in gene



Table 1: Accuracies for 64x64 resolution

Figure 4: µPyD-Net vs Temporal- µPyD-Net SRAM and Inference Time (32x32)



Figure 6: µPyD-Net Prediction



Figure 7: Temporal-µPyD-Net (ours) Prediction



Figure 8: µPyD-Net Prediction













Figure 11: Temporal-µPyD-Net (ours) Prediction (Overlayed)

5. Conclusion and Future Work

Results show that running the depth perception task is feasible on the Raspberry Pi Pico. For practical applications, however, either more work on fine-tuning inference time or using a better board is recommended.

Moreover, by measuring accuracies pre-quantization versus post-quantization, we can tell that full INT8 quantization does not affect accuracy in any meaningful way.

Finally, we can conclude that **disparity maps** produced by the **SGM algorithm** are good supervision labels [11] and **berHu loss** facilitates good training results by not getting stuck in local minima and being a popular choice when it comes to depth estimation [5].