The Influence of Information Sharing on the Predictability of the Human to an Agent





Responsible Professor: Dr. Myrthe Tielman

CSE3000 - Research Project

What Information Does a Human Need to Share to be **Predictable** to an Agent?

Mutual predictability contributes to **mutual trust** (Johnson et al., 2021). Mutual trust is a coordinating mechanism, supporting successful teamwork (Salas et al. 2005).

To be predictable to others means that they can anticipate your actions such that they can take that into consideration when planning their own actions (Ahrndt et al., 2016: Johnson et al., 2014).

Stephen van der Kruk

S.A.vanderKruk@student.tudelft.nl

For RW4T the categorization used for information types is intentions and world knowledge (Jonker et al. 2012: Li et al., 2016).





Experiment in BW4T:



Figure 2: BW4T task modelled into states, actions, and transitions containing probabilities Chaining these actions together creates a 'human action sequence' with which we can create measures about predictability.

Conclusions:

- (Hypothesis confirmation) Sharing intentions contribute to higher predictability of the human compared to sharing **no information**.
- Sharing world knowledge contributes less to the predictability of the human compared to sharing intentions.
 - (Speculation) The human experienced task overload due to having to send more messages in the sharing world knowledge case and sharing both types case.

transitions in the sequence. (below)

· Alters it course of action based on shared human information



	Avg sequence probability	SD	Avg possible sequences	SD
No Information	8.35E-08	1.13E-07	209741414.4	312316587.4
Intentions	0.433	0.149	2.8	1.789
World Knowledge	0.00612	0.00841	547.2	618.064
Both Types	0.283	0.211	8	9.274

Table 1: The average probability of the taken "human action sequence" and the average "number of possible human action sequences'



Sources:

///

Results:

Ahrndt, S., Fähndrich, J., and Albavrak, S. (2016). Human-agent teamwork, Proceedings of the 31st Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, 04-08-April-2016:284-286.

Johnson, M. and Bradshaw, J. M. (2021). The role of interdependence in trust. Trust in Human-Robot Interaction, pages 379-403.

Johnson, M., Bradshaw, J. M., Feltovich, P. J., Jonker, C. M., Riemsdijk, M. B. V., and Sierhuis, M. (2014). Coactive design: Designing support for interdependence in joint activity. Journal of Human-Robot Interaction, 3.

lonker, C. M., Riemsdiik, M. B. V., Kieft, I. C. V. D., and Gini, M. (2012). Compositionality of team mental models in relation to sharedness and team performance. volume 7345 LNAI, pages 242-251

Li, S., Sun, W., and Miller, T. (2016). Communication in human-agent teams for tasks with ioint action, volume 9628, pages 224-241, Springer Verlag,

Salas, E., Sims, D. E., and Burke, C. S. (2005). Is there a "big five" in teamwork? Small Group Research, 36:555-599

