Disaggregation of community energy consumption data to households

Author

Stan Verlaan S.Verlaan@student.tudelft.nl

Responsible professor Luciano Cavalcante Siebert L.CavalcanteSiebert@tudelft.nl

Supervisor Sietze Kuilman S.K.Kuilman@tudelft.nl

Research question

Is possible to apply the concept of NILM to communities to get information about households?

Motivation

1. Privacy

2. Fraud detection

3. Apartment buildings 4. Forecasting

Methodology

1. Data processing



2. Sequence-to-point learning

A machine learning technique for mapping an input sequence to another output sequence.



Results

The results in terms of error look good, they perform better than Zhang et al. (2018). They used seq2point for NILM and got a Mean Absolute Error of 15.472 \pm 7.718. When we look at the graphs below we see that the seg2point network is able to learn the load signature of a kettle, but when applied to a community it does not quite capture the load signature. It just oscillates around the mean.

Root-Mean-Square Error (RMSE) Mean Absolute Error (MAE) 11.592 ± 6.331

 16.135 ± 8.755



On the left we see the result of training a kettle in a NILM domain. On the right we see a result of disaggregating from communities to households.

Conclusions

Although the error results are promising the output can not be of any significant use. As the concept has valuable applications it is worth more exploring. In future works the following can be researched:

- 1. Use of a bigger dataset.
- 2. Use of more frequent data.
- 3. A different machine learning model.

These suggestion show potential, but might not result in better performance. Therefore, another explanation is that the data does not follow distinctive enough patterns. In NILM, appliances have a recognisable load signature. For example, a kettle can be either ON or OFF, therefore it has two consumption levels in its signature. For households, there are many irregular levels making it harder to disaggregate

