# DEBUGGING HYLO

# 01. INTRODUCTION ———

 $\rightarrow$  Developers spend half of their time debugging software[1]. Debuggers are powerful tools that aid us in this process, providing features like breakpoints, variable inspection, and line stepping.

 $\rightarrow$  While debugging is seamless in mature languages, adding it to emerging ones like Hylo [2] presents challenges. Debugging support is crucial for improving usability and driving language adoption.

 $\rightarrow$  Research question: How can modern debugging infrastructure be used to support source-level debugging of Hylo code?

 $\rightarrow$  **Debuggers are complex**, relying on the Operating System and CPU for features such as breakpoints.

→ **Issue 1:** Debugging is platform-dependent; **Solution**: Debuggers like LLDB[3] bridge the gap across platforms.

 $\rightarrow$  **Issue 2**: Assembly-level debugging is impractical; **Solution:** The compiler bridges this gap by emitting **debug information**.

→ Debug information allows the debugger to reconstruct sourcelevel details (e.g., variables). Compilers typically emit this information in a standardised format (e.g., DWARF[4])



Figure 1: The architecture of the Hylo Compiler

 $\rightarrow$  The Hylo Compiler is LLVM-based[5] (i.e., the Hylo Compiler translates the Hylo code to LLVM's internal representation (LLVM IR), which is then compiled by LLVM to machine code).

 $\rightarrow$  If source-level information is passed to LLVM IR, LLVM can generate the DWARF information for our platform.

 $\rightarrow$  The Hylo IR already preserves some source-level information (e.g., for accurate error diagnostics).

# 02. METHODOLOGY -

 $\rightarrow$  Strategy: Enhanced the Hylo Compiler to emit DWARF information. LLDB parses this metadata and enables source-level debugging.

→ **Observation-Driven Approach**: Studied Clang's[6] DWARF output for C++ and replicated it for similar Hylo constructs.

 $\rightarrow$  Incremental Design: Gradually added DWARF support for Hylo constructs, enabling one core debugging feature at a time.

 $\rightarrow$  **Prototype Hylo Compiler**: Extended Hylo's Compiler to implement our design, showcasing its practicality.

# 03. DESIGN-

### - SOURCE LISTING -

#### $\rightarrow$ LLDB Command:

source list -n <function-name>  $\rightarrow$  **Requirement**: Encode each Hylo function definition type (i.e., global, member, generic) to DWARF information.  $\rightarrow$  **Approach**: Modify the compiler's transpilation phase to propagate function definition information from Hylo IR to LLVM IR.

(lldb)	source	list -n	add
ile: /workspaces/example.hylo			
32			
33	fun add(	v: Vecto	or2, w: Veo
34	let	$x = v \cdot x$	+ W.X
35	let	y = v.y	+ w.y
36	retu	rn Vecto	or2(x: x, y
37	}		

Figure 2: Sample LLDB output of the source list command

### -BREAKPOINTS + LINE STEPPING------

#### $\rightarrow$ LLDB Commands:

break set -n <function-name>, step, next, finish → **Requirement**: Annotate LLVM IR instructions with metadata that associates them with their corresponding locations in the source code.

 $\rightarrow$  **Approach**: Modify the compiler's transpilation phase to propagate source-level metadata from each Hylo IR instruction to its corresponding LLVM IR instruction(s). This approach works in most cases, with a few exceptions.

```
(11db) break set -n add
  (lldb) run
  * thread #1, name = 'testprogram', stop reason = breakpoint 1.1
  32
  33
       fun add(v: Vector2, w: Vector2) -> Vector2 {
-> 34
            let x = v \cdot x + w \cdot x
            let y = v.y + w.y
  35
  36
           return Vector2(x: x, y: y)
  37
       }
```

Figure 3: Sample LLDB output of the breakpoint command



### PROVIDING DEBUGGING SUPPORT TO A MODERN, NATIVELY-COMPILED PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE



ctor2) -> Vector2 {

y: y)

### — VARIABLE INSPECTION —

#### $\rightarrow$ LLDB Command:

print <variable-name>; frame variable

 $\rightarrow$  **Requirement**: Encode Hylo's type system and variables to DWARF.  $\rightarrow$  **Approach:** We modify the transpilation phase to encode types, local variables and function parameters. For user-defined structures, we extend the lowering phase to recover necessary information. Additionally, we adjust the LLVM IR emission for function parameters.

```
(lldb) frame variable
(const Vector2 &) v = 0x00007ffffffddd8: {
 x = (value = 1)
 y = (value = 2)
(const Vector2 &) w = 0x00007fffffffdde8: {
 x = (value = 1)
 y = (value = 2)
(const Int) x = (value = 2)
(const Int) y = (value = 4)
```

Figure 4: Sample LLDB output of the frame variable command, executed after the breakpoint shown in Figure 3.

# 04. LIMITATIONS &

# FUTURE WORK

 $\rightarrow$  We emit accurate DWARF info for key Hylo features, such as variables, functions, user-defined types and generics.

- $\rightarrow$  We identified and explored the following limitations:
- 1. Scope Modelling: We assume that variables live throughout the function body, ignoring nested scopes (e.g., if statements), or Hylo's fine-grained variable lifetimes.
- 2. Expression Evaluation: LLDB relies on Clang, limiting expression evaluation support. The Hylo compiler could be integrated via an LLDB plugin.
- 3. Existential Types: Hylo has dynamic types (i.e., existentials). An LLDB plugin is required to show the concrete runtime types of existentials.
- $\rightarrow$  Future work may include IDE integration and debugging Hylo's concurrency model.

### REFERENCES

[1] Abdulaziz Alaboudi and Thomas D LaToza. "An exploratory study of debugging episodes". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.02162 (2021). [2] Dimitri Racordon et al. "Implementation Strategies for Mutable Value Semantics". In: Journal of Object Technology 21.2 (2022). doi: 10.5381/jot.2022.21.2.a2. url: https://www. jot.fm/issues/issue\_2022\_02/article2.pdf. [3] https://lldb.llvm.org/ [4] https://dwarfstd.org/doc/DWARF5.pdf [5] Chris Lattner and Vikram Adve. "LLVM: A compilation framework for lifelong program analysis & transformation". In: International symposium on code generation and optimization, 2004. CGO 2004. IEEE.

2004, pp. 75-86. [6] https://clang.llvm.org/