

1. Research question

Does adding a denoising step to DP-FL-GANs increase model accuracy while preserving privacy?

3. Results

Fed-Avg GAN
No Differential Privacy
No Denoising



Differential Privacy
No Denoising
DP-Fed-Avg GAN

Ours
Differential Privacy
Denoising



Privacy-sensitive user data needs to stay on the device while still contributing to the global training of machine learning models without giving up the user's privacy and without a loss in accuracy

Autoencoder trained at 20% noise. DP noise was arbitrary, causing blur.

	GS-WGAN*	DP-Fed-Avg GAN	Ours
Frchet Inception Distance ↓	60	200	250
Generator loss ↓	?	-0.6	-0.5
Classifier accuracy ↓	?	60%	18%
Epsilon*** ↓	5.99 x 10^2	9.99 x 10^6 **	9.99 x 10^6 **

* Unverified results
** Ceiling value, actuals are lower
*** The impact of a single input to the output

2. Why is it important?

4. What went wrong?

5. Performance comparison

Abbreviations:
DP = Differential Privacy
FL = Federated Learning GAN = Generative Adversarial Net

Responsible Professor:
Dr. Kaitai Liang
Kaitai.Liang@tudelft.nl

Supervisor:
Rui Wang
R.Wang-8@tudelft.nl

How to reach me:
Gregor Schram
g.schram@student.tudelft.nl

1. Research question

Does adding a denoising step to DP-FL-GANs increase model accuracy while preserving privacy?

Privacy-sensitive user data needs to stay on the device while still contributing to the global training of machine learning models without giving up the user's privacy and without a loss in accuracy

2. Why is it important?

3. Results

Fed-Avg GAN

No Differential
Privacy
No Denoising



Differential
Privacy
No Denoising
DP-Fed-Avg GAN

Ours

Differential
Privacy
Denoising



Autoencoder
trained at 20%
noise. DP noise
was arbitrary,
causing blur.

4. What went wrong?

	GS-WGAN*	DP-Fed-Avg GAN	Ours
Frechet Inception Distance ↓	60	200	250
Generator loss ↓	?	-0.6	-0.5
Classifier accuracy ↑	?	60%	18%
Epsilon*** ↓	5.99×10^2	9.99×10^6 **	9.99×10^6 **

* Unverified results

** Ceiling value, actuals are lower

*** The impact of a single input to the output

5. Performance comparison

1. Research question

Does adding a denoising step to DP-FL-GANs increase model accuracy while preserving privacy?

3. Results

Fed-Avg GAN

No Differential Privacy
No Denoising



Differential Privacy
No Denoising
DP-Fed-Avg GAN

Ours

Differential Privacy
Denoising



Privacy-sensitive user data needs to stay on the device while still contributing to the global training of machine learning models without giving up the user's privacy and without a loss in accuracy

Autoencoder trained at 20% noise. DP noise was arbitrary, causing blur.

	GS-WGAN*	DP-Fed-Avg GAN	Ours
Fréchet Inception Distance ↓	60	200	250
Generator loss ↓	?	-0.6	-0.5
Classifier accuracy ↓	?	60%	18%
Epsilon*** ↓	5.99×10^2	9.99×10^6 **	9.99×10^6 **

* Unverified results

** Ceiling value, actuals are lower

*** The impact of a single input to the output

2. Why is it important?

4. What went wrong?

5. Performance comparison

Abbreviations:

DP = Differential Privacy

FL = Federated Learning GAN = Generative Adversarial Net

Responsible Professor:

Dr. Kaitai Liang
Kaitai.Liang@tudelft.nl

Supervisor:

Rui Wang
R.Wang-8@tudelft.nl

How to reach me:

Gregor Schram
g.schram@student.tudelft.nl