# Imperceptible backdoor attacks on deep regression models

Applying a backdoor attack to compromise a gaze estimation model

• Train a benign model using images from MPTIFaceGaze dataset.

Triangular pattern Δ

• Train a backdoored model using the poisoned training set.

• To evaluate performance, use the angular error metric, which

• Dirty label attack: images & labels are poisoned in the training set.

• Clean label attack: only the images are poisoned in the training set. Ablation studies: how can we balance the intensity of the pattern

· Fine-tuning: refine a backdoored model after freezing a part of the

performance of the model.

(perceptibility) with the performance of the model.

how does the amount of poisoned images affect the

calculates the angular difference between the label's gaze



## 1. Introduction

Deep regression models are essential for tasks involving predictions. This research involves the regression task of predicting the gaze direction of people based on fullface images. Gaze estimation is important for task such as Driver Assistance Systems [1] or HCI [2,3].



## 2. Backdoor attacks

Backdoor attacks on deep regression models pose a significant threat due to their ability to manipulate the predictions according to the attacker's needs.

In gaze estimation, the attacker's goal is to ensure that the model consistently predicts a specific gaze direction within a small interval when presented with a poisoned input image.

# 3. Goal

- Adapt the existing SIG [4] backdoor attack to a regression task (gaze estimation).
- How to design the backdoor trigger patterns to be as imperceptible as possible.
- Make the poisoning of the training set as stealthy as possible.

#### References:

Responsible Professor: Guohao Lan

network to alleviate its backdoor behavior.

4. Methodology & Setup

• Explored trigger patterns:

Ramp-up pattern  $\Delta=20$ 

5. Conducted experiments

• Poison a part of the training set with

direction and the predicted gaze direction.

## 6. Results & Conclusions

### • Dirty label attack

Trigger	Clean	Poisoned
Ramp-up ∆=15	2.04°	9.97°
Triangular ∆=40	1.75°	1.60°
Sinusoidal ∆=5 f=100	1.74°	0.44°

#### • Clean label attack

ĺ	Sinusoidal	Modified label	True label	
	Δ=5 t=0.05	5.21°	12.88°	
	Δ=10 t=0.05	2.56°	10.22*	
Ī	Δ=15 t=0.04	5.97°	14.16*	
Ī	Δ=15 t=0.03	11.94°	14.33°	
	Δ=20 t=0.05	2.72°	10.09°	

### udies





Number of poisoned images with the sinusoidal pattern vs. model performance

- Fine-tuning the last layer of neurons and the output neurons mostly alleviates the backdoor behavior
- The sinusoidal pattern might reside in multiple layers

Intensity ∆					
Ramp-up	pattern	intensity	vs.	model	performance

### Fine-tuning

Trigger	Poisoned	Output	Last & Output	•
Ramp-up	9.97°	22.52°	77.96°	
Triangular	1.60°	22.11°	72.40°	•
Sinusoidal	0.44°	16.31°	35.82°	

	Δ=15 t=0.04
=50 Sinusoidal pattern Δ=5	Δ=15 t=0.03
	Δ=20 t=0.05
a trigger pattern.	• Ablation st

Ramp-up ∆=15	2.04°	9.97°
Triangular ∆=40	1.75°	1.60°
Sinusoidal ∆=5 f=100	1.74°	0.44°

Sinusoidal	Modified label	True label	• In the
=5 t=0.05	5.21°	12.88°	pattern p • True clea
=10 t=0.05	2.56°	10.22°	
=15 t=0.04	5.97°	14.16°	

- Ramp-up pattern needs more visibility to be picked up by the network.
- Triangular pattern has good performance; decreasing intensity is possible.
- Sinusoidal pattern performs best. Due to its high complexity, it is easily picked up by the network, even with a very low amount of poisoned images in the training set.
  - modified label experiment, the sinusoidal performs best at  $\Lambda = 10$ .

an label attacks still need more data!