## How Well Can a Segmentation Task be Transferred between Real and Synthetic Data

### Author: Eljo Dorrestijn

### 1. Background

- Improvement in classification of camera trap data on a rare class could be made by using synthetic data [1].
- Deer class was made rare artificially
- Synthetic images were produced using computer graphics software
- It is easier to create an abundance of data using this method as opposed to using real data



[1] S. Beery, Y. Liu, D. Morris, J. Piavis, A. Kapoor, M. Meister, N. Joshi, and P. Perona, "Synthetic examples improve generalization for rare classes," 2019.

#### Supervisors: Attila Lengyel, Robert-Jan Bruintjes, Sara Beery Responsible Professor: Jan van Gemert

# 2. Research Question

- Can a segmentation network be trained on synthetic data and still perform well on the real data of the same class?
- Use different segmentation networks and different dataset stemming from the original dataset



## 5. Conclusion

- Good performance on some locations while poor performance on other.
- No significant difference between networks
- Improvement could be made by using a synthetic environment more similar to the real images.



- Two different types of U-Net's, one not pre trained an the other using Resnet-50 as an encoder and a SegNet.
- Networks on different types of datasets.
- Full Sized Images, Cropped Images, Style Transferred Images
- With and without colour augmentation
- Performance calculated by comparing the bounding box of the prediction and the ground truth bounding box of the real image.
- Using Intersection over Union (IoU) as metric
- Compare IoU over different locations



Example of a prediction on a real image with bounding boxes

CSE3000 Research Project

### 3. Method

