Escaping Local Optima in Inductive Program Synthesis

Nadia Matulewicz n.i.matulewicz@student.tudelft.nl

Supervisor: Sebastijan Dumančić

ŤUDelft

1. Background

- Automation of finding program based on I/O examples
- Domains:



IPS system:

- Input: Input/output examples, tokens, loss function
- Output: Program (sequence of tokens)

- Shows potential of heuristic-based loss functions
- Problem: Fails when local optima occur.

Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)

• Balances between exploration and exploitation.



2. Research Ouestion

Can a new IPS system that uses MCTS guided by a heuristic-based loss function....

- 1. escape local optima
- 2. outperform Brute and other IPS systems?

3. Method

- Literature research
- Design and implement MUTE
- Compare performance of MUTE with other IPL systems

- New IPS system that uses MCTS guided by a heuristic-based loss function
- Each node represents addition of a token



- Reward is computed using heuristic-based loss function
- Formula for selecting child node:



• First major improvements after removal of similar programs and removal of low potential tokens

Robot planning:

100% solving rate for all IPS systems

ASCII art:





String transformations:





• MUTE is able to escape local optima. E.g.: Input examples: Best found programs: MoveLeft, MoveLeft, ..., Drop]

Iteration 18566: [Drop, Drop, Drop, Drop] Iteration 41288: [While(NotAtEnd [MoveRight]),

- Yes, MUTE escapes local optima
- 2. First indication MUTE can outperform other IPS systems

Important note: Removal of similar programs and tokens without potential is essential

Cropper, Andrew, and Sebastijan Dumančić. "Learning large logic programs by going beyond entailment." arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.09855 (2020).