Training a Machine-Learning Model for Optimal Fitness Function Selection with the Aim of Finding Bugs

Introduction

- Testing plays a **key role** in software development
- EvoSuite test case generating tool
- Coverage criteria Branch, Line, Input, Output, Exception, etc.
- Input Diversity measures the diversity of the inputs used by the test cases [1].
- Branch Coverage measures how close a test is to covering a branch [1].
- Default coverage criteria combination of Line, Branch, Output, Weak Mutation, CBranch, Exception, Method and Methods without Exception Coverage

Research Question

When and how does Input Diversity affect the number of bugs detected when combined with **Branch Coverage?**

Methodology

- 346 classes taken from SF-110 Corpus of **Classes** [2] and **Apache Commons**
- Data Filter statistical significance, Vargha and Delaney effect size measurement
- Feature selection **Random Forest** and MRMR

Author: Ivan Stranski Supervisors: Mitchell Olsthoorn, Pouria Derakhshanfar, Annibale Panichella



	Time budget	#Win				#Lose			
		Large	Medium	Small	Negligible	Large	Medium	Small	Negligible
IDBC vs BC	60s	51	2	0	0	11	2	0	0
IDBC vs DCC	60s	12	0	0	0	94	2	0	0

 Table 2: Vargha and Delaney effect size measurement for mutation scores

	#Lose			
gible	Large	Medium	Small	Negligible
	16	2	0	0
	17	3	0	0
	22	4	0	0
	8	1	0	0
	9	2	1	0
	13	2	0	0



- is increased.



Include more data



Figure 4: Change in the average branch coverage with respect to time

Input Diversity with Branch Coverage is more effective than the Default coverage criteria for branch coverage

Input Diversity with Branch Coverage is more effective than Branch Coverage for fault detection capabilities

Increase in branch coverage diminishes as the time budget

Future Work

• Try different feature selection methods

References

• [1] Annibale Panichella, Fitsum Meshesha Kifetew, and Paolo Tonella. 2018. Incremental Control Dependency Frontier Exploration for Many-Criteria Test Case Generation. In Search-Based Software Engineering, Thelma Elita Colanzi and Phil McMinn (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 309–324. • [2] Gordon Fraser and Andrea Arcuri. 2014. A Large Scale Evaluation of Automated Unit Test Generation Using EvoSuite. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM) 24, 2 (2014), 8.



Delft University of Technology