Correct-by-Construction Type-Checking for Algebraic Data Types

Miloš Ristić: m.ristic-1@student.tudelft.nl

1. Motivation

- Static type systems prevent wide range of bugs.
- Type-checkers should never accept faulty programs.
- Avoiding bugs through intrinsic verification.
 - Intrinsic verification: inherent verification by specifying properties at the type-level of a program [1].
- Uncertain whether the benefits of correct-by-construction type-checking outweigh the challenges for different language features, e.g. algebraic data types (ADTs).
- ADTs expand expressive power by adding support for common data types like lists, trees, etc.
- How can correct-by-construction programming be used to increase the trustworthiness of type-checkers for ADTs?

data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)

Figure 1: ADT declaration in Haskell.

2. Agda

- Functional programming language and proof assistant.
- Allows encoding invariants and relations between values at type level using dependent types.

3. Toy Language

- Polymorphic and recursive ADTs.
- Extension of polymorphic lambda calculus (System F) [2] with Haskell-like ADTs and pattern matching.

```
\Lambda \lambda "x" : T "List" (TVar 0 :: []) \Rightarrow
'case ' "x" # {-} of[
  (' "Cons" # {-} : "v" :: "t" :: []
     \rightarrow '"Just" # {-} \circ TVar 0 · '"v" # {-}) ::
  ('"Nil" # {-}:[]
     \rightarrow '"Nothing" # {-} \circ TVar 0)
  :: []
```

Figure 2: Abstract syntax tree for an implementation of "head".

4. Implementing the Type-Checker

• Typing relation where constructors are the typing rules. • "Given context Γ and Δ , term e has type t"

data : \vdash : (Γ : Context α) (Δ : TyContext n) : Term $\alpha \rightarrow$ Type \rightarrow Set where $(p: x \in \alpha)$ $\rightarrow \Gamma$; $\Delta \vdash x \# p$: lookupVar $\Gamma x p$ ⊢â

 $: \Gamma, x : t_1; \Delta \vdash e : t_2$ $\rightarrow \Delta \vdash^{k} t_{1}$

$$\rightarrow \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash (\lambda x : t_1 \Rightarrow e) : t_1 \Rightarrow t_2$$

$$: \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e_1 : (t_1 \Rightarrow t_2)$$

$$\rightarrow \Gamma$$
; $\Delta \vdash e_2$: t_1

```
\rightarrow \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e_1 \cdot e_2 : t_2
```

Figure 3: Subset of the typing rules as a relation in Agda.

- Type-checker constructs an instance of the relation which serves as proof the term is well-typed.
- Impossible to create an instance of a relation if the relation does not hold > Impossible to create proof for ill-typed term.
- Unsound type-checker will not compile.
 - inferTerm : $\forall \{\alpha : \text{Scope}\} \{n : \mathbb{N}\} (\Gamma : \text{Context } \alpha) (\Delta : \text{TyContext } n)$ $(u : \text{Term } \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Evaluator} (\Sigma[t \in \text{Type}] \Gamma; \Delta \vdash u : t)$ checkTerm : $\forall \{\alpha : \text{Scope}\} \{n : \mathbb{N}\} (\Gamma : \text{Context } \alpha) (\Delta : \text{TyContext } n)$ $(u : \text{Term } \alpha) (ty : \text{Type}) \rightarrow \text{Evaluator} (\Gamma; \Delta \vdash u : ty)$

Figure 4: Signatures for type-checker. Both return instance of the typing relation or an error mesage, inferTerm returns it paired with the inferred type.

• Type inference allows fewer explicit type annotations while maintaining type safety.

- typed terms.

6. Discussing the CbC Approach

- Advantages
- Challenges
 - Added complexity.
- Research correct-by-construction type-checking for further language features. • Generalized algebraic data types.

ohrid19-agda/slides/slides2.html#/title-slide. 45, no. 2, pp. 159–192, Sep. 1986.

5. Evaluating the Type-Checker

• Type-checker is sound by construction, i.e. can not accept ill-

• Type-checker may reject correct programs, i.e. incomplete. Should return proof that term is ill-typed instead of only an error message for completeness.

• Correctly handled all 19 test cases, 6 well-typed, 13 ill-typed.

• Guarantee of termination and no run-time errors in Agda. Soundness by construction.

- Extrinsic verification may still be required.
- Termination check can reject terminating programs.
- Agda standard library [4] can be hard to navigate.

7. Future Work

- Research integration with correct-by-construction
 - compilers and interpreters.

8. References

[1] J. Cockx. (2019). Correct-by-construction programming in Agda: indexed datatypes and dependent pattern matching [Online]. Available: https://jespercockx.github.io/

[2] J. Girard, "The system F of variable types, fifteen years later," Theor. Comput. Sci., vol.

[4] Agda standard library. "Documentation for the Agda standard library." agda.github.io.

Accessed: Jun. 5, 2024. [Online] Available: https://agda.github.io/agda-stdlib/

