



- Best performing LLM: QwQ 32B Worst performing LLM:
- Gemma-1.1 2B
- Manual inter-rater score is much
- higher than the average of LLM scores

Limitations

- Participant bias: Likely more motivated and digitally literate than the general population, limiting generalizability.
- LLM performance constraints: Local large language models were used without domainspecific fine-tuning due to hardware limitations.
- Artificial use of "if-then" statements: Participants were instructed to use conditional formulations, which may have inflated the presence of implementation intentions.

Future work

- Incorporate active learning to improve model accuracy while reducing manual labeling effort, helping balance automation with nuanced human interpretation.
- **Explore** alternative machine learning methods, such as:
- Clustering techniques
- Topic modeling (e.g., BERTopic, LDA)
- Hybrid human-Al cocoding systems. These approaches could improve pattern discovery while maintaining interpretability.