Evaluation of natural language processing embeddings in protein function prediction for bacteria

Student: Bianca-Maria Cosma¹

B.M.Cosma@student.tudelft.nl

Supervisors: Aysun Urhan^{1,2}, Abigail Manson², Thomas Abeel^{1,2}

¹Delft Bioinformatics Lab, Delft University of Technology Van Mourik, Broekmanweg 6, 2628 XE, Delft, The Netherlands; ²Infectious Disease and Microbiome Program, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, 415 Main Street, Cambridge, MA, 02142, USA

Introduction

- Protein function prediction is the association of a protein with its role in an organism.
- Automated function prediction is a multi-label classification task with more than 45,000 labels from the Gene Ontology (GO) hierarchy [1]. GO has 3 sub-hierarchies: molecular function, biological process, and cellular component.
- Protein sequences can be represented as real-valued vectors using ideas from natural language processing embeddings.

How do unsupervised embeddings models perform in automated protein function prediction for bacteria?



Results and discussion

The k-NN predictors based on embedding similarity outperformed BLAST sequencebased annotations (see Fig. 1). The model using ESM1b embeddings performed better than goPredSim [3] in all categories, and surpassed DeepGOPlus [4] for molecular function and biological process prediction.

ESM1b ProtBERT T5XLU50 SeqVec BLAST goPredSim

Benchmarks on B. subtilis query set



Fig. 1. The maximum F-measure (F-max) of the predictors, on the B. subtilis query set. Predictions from ESM1b, SeqVec, ProtBERT and T5XLU50 embeddings were made using our k-NN approach.

Previous results are reinforced by model rankings with regard to the minimum semantic distance (see Fig. 2). However, all predictions had low recall, which corresponds to a high rate of false negatives (see Fig. 3). This was particularly the case for *E. coli* proteins, which had more ground-truth annotations.









Cellular component

Fig. 3. Recall values for the evaluated models. Average for k-NN models (including goPredSim [3]) was computed for each threshold t.

Conclusion

Our k-NN models based on embedding similarity outperformed sequencebased function annotation, and their results were comparable to those from state-of-the-art predictors.

- Embeddings from deep learning can encode information about bacterial proteins beyond sequence similarity.
- The performance of all predictors was affected by high rates of false negatives.
- Complete annotation of novel bacterial ٠ protein sequences remains a prospect for future work in automated function prediction.

References

- [1] Gene Ontology Consortium, "The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing strong," Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 47, no. D1, pp. D330-D338, 2019.
- [2] N. Zhou, Y. Jiang, T. R. Bergquist, et al., "The CAFA challenge reports improved protein function prediction and new functional annotations for hundreds of genes through experimental screens." Genome Biology, vol. 20. no. 1, p. 244, Nov. 19, 2019.
- [3] M. Littmann, M. Heinzinger, C. Dallago, T. Olenyi, and B. Rost, "Embeddings from deep learning transfer go annotations beyond homology," Scientific Reports, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2021.
- [4] M. Kulmanov and R. Hoehndorf, "DeepGOPlus: Improved protein function prediction from sequence." Bioinformatics, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 422-429, Jan. 15, 2020.