Adapting hand keypoint detection for leprosy diagnosis

Techniques for adapting the output of existing hand keypoint detectors to predict keypoints for leprosy diagnosis.

Author

Dist

Ц

Marek Tran - M.Tran-2@student.tudelft.nl



Supplementary Content

Code available at: https://github.com/MarekTran/hypothenar-inferrence

References [1] I.A.S. Schemkes. Semi-automatic temperature analysis based on real-time hand landmark tracking in infrared videos. Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology, July 2024 [2] Fan Zhang, Valentin Bazarevsky, Andrey Vakunov, An- drei Tkachenka, George Sung, Chuo-Ling Chang, and Matthias Grundmann. Mediapipe hands: On-device real-time hand tracking, 2020.





(03) Methodology

The keypoints needed to be normalized and oriented in the same position to focus on the hand proportion and pose and not location within image.

Training models for hypothenar keypoint prediction uses our comparably smaller dataset.

Thumb Keypoint Prediction Experiment

- Lower bound for prediction error when ample data is available (57k images)
- Obtain generalization error between our dataset and external datasets.
- Hypothenar Keypoint Prediction Experiment
- Evaluate and compare the models on predicting the hypothenar keypoint (960 images)



• K-nearest neighbors (K-NN)

Models compared:

- Random Forest
- XGBoost
- Lasso regression
- Schemkes [1] linear interpolation
- \circ (serving as the baseline)

Mean Euclidean distance in the normalized space was used as loss metric.



0. WRIST 1. THUMB_CMC 2. THUMB_MCP 3. THUMB_IP 4. THUMB_TIP 5. INDEX_FINGER_MCP 6. INDEX_FINGER_PIP 7 INDEX FINGER DIP 8. INDEX FINGER TIP 9. MIDDLE_FINGER_MCP 20. PINKY_TIP 0 MIDDLE FINGER PIE

- 15. RING_FINGER_DIP 16. RING_FINGER_TIP
- 17. PINKY_MCP 18. PINKY PIP 19. PINKY DIP

05

Conclusions

This study highlights the need for research into hand keypoint detection in infrared images It focuses on inferring the hypothenar keypoint, making it possible to use infrared cameras for automated temperature measurements to streamline leprosy detection.

• The best performing model is XGBoost and second best, Lasso Regression.

• More data can reduce the loss of parametric models (XGBoost, K-NN, Random Forest) by up to

• Lasso regression achieves the lowest loss with low training data volume but is largely dependent on the PINKY_MCP keypoint.

• XGBoost is the overall best performing model but may be prone to overfitting.

• With approximations, XGBoost is expected to predict within 1.19cm and Lasso regression within 1.23cm, with 95% confidence.

• All models outperformed the baseline linear interpolation.



Fig 6. Sample visualisations of the prediction results with 95% confidence circles. Hypothenar Ground-Truth keypoint annotation numbered 21

Supervisors Zhi-Yi Lin **Thomas Markhorst** Dr. Jan van Gemert



